

1 E. KATE PATCHEN (NYRN 4104634)
2 MANISH KUMAR (CSBN 267465)
3 GABRIEL R. MARTINEZ (CSBN 275142)
4 U.S. Department of Justice
5 Antitrust Division
6 450 Golden Gate Avenue
7 Box 36046, Room 10-0101
8 San Francisco, CA 94102
9 manish.kumar@usdoj.gov
10 Telephone: (415) 934-5300

11 Attorneys for United States of America

12
13 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15 OAKLAND DIVISION
16

17 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA) CASE NO. CR 4:14-00582 PJH
18)
19 v.) **[PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME**
20) **FROM COMPUTATION UNDER THE SPEEDY**
21 ALVIN FLORIDA, JR.,) **TRIAL ACT, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, ET SEQ.**
22)
23 Defendant.)
24)
25)
26)
27)
28)

29 The parties appeared before this Court on January 21, 2015, for a status hearing in the above-
30 captioned matter. A further status hearing was set for February 18, 2015, at 2:30 p.m. to give the
31 defense an opportunity to review discovery (Dkt. #52). Counsel for the defendant and the government
32 agreed that an exclusion of time was appropriate under the Speedy Trial Act from January 21, 2015, to
33 February 18, 2015 for effective preparation of counsel.

34 The Court finds that the ends of justice served by granting the continuance outweigh the best
35 interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. *See* 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(A). Failing to
36 exclude the time between January 21, 2015, and February 18, 2015, would unreasonably deny the
37 defendant reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due
38 diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

39 Based on these findings, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time period from January 21, 2015,

1 until February 18, 2015, is excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C.
2 §§ 3161(h)(7)(A) and (h)(7)(B)(iv).

3 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

4
5 DATED: 1/26/15

